Diderot: A Parallel DSL for Image Analysis and Visualization

Charisee Chiw Gordon Kindlmann John Reppy Lamont Samuels Nick Seltzer

University of Chicago

June 11, 2012

Diderot

The Diderot project is a collaborative effort to use ideas from PL to improve the state-of-the-art in scientific image analysis and visualization.

We have two main goals for Diderot:

- Improve programmability by supporting a high-level mathematical programming notation.
- Improve performance by supporting efficient execution; especially on parallel platforms.

Roadmap

- Image analysis
- Parallel DSLs
- Diderot design and examples
- Implementation issues
- Performance
- Conclusion

Why image analysis is important



- Scientists need software tools to extract structure from many kinds of image data.
- Creating new analysis/visualization programs is part of the experimental process.
- ► The challenge of getting knowledge from image data is getting harder.

Discrete image data

- We are interested in a class of algorithms that compute geometric properties of objects from imaging data.
- These algorithms compute over a continuous tensor field F (and its derivatives), which are reconstructed from discrete data using a separable convolution kernel h:

$$F = V \circledast h$$



Continuous field

- Direct volume rendering (requires reconstruction, derivatives).
- ► Fiber tractography (requires tensor fields).
- Particle systems (requires dynamic numbers of computational elements).

- Direct volume rendering (requires reconstruction, derivatives).
- ► Fiber tractography (requires tensor fields).
- Particle systems (requires dynamic numbers of computational elements).



- Direct volume rendering (requires reconstruction, derivatives).
- Fiber tractography (requires tensor fields).
- Particle systems (requires dynamic numbers of computational elements).



- Direct volume rendering (requires reconstruction, derivatives).
- Fiber tractography (requires tensor fields).
- Particle systems (requires dynamic numbers of computational elements).



Parallel DSLs

Domain-specific languages provide a number of advantages:

- High-level notation supports rapid prototyping and pedagogical presentation.
- Opportunities for domain-specific optimizations.

Parallel DSLs provide additional advantages

- High-level, abstract, parallelism models.
- Portable parallelism.

Parallel DSLs meet the Diderot design goals of improving programmability and performance.

Related work

Other examples of parallel DSLs:

- ► Liszt: embedded DSL for writing mesh-based PDE solvers.
- ► Shadie: DSL for volume rendering applications.
- Spiral: program generator for DSP code.

Diderot

Programmability: from whiteboard to code



```
vec3 grad = -\nabla F(pos);
vec3 norm = normalize(grad);
tensor[3,3] H = \nabla \otimes \nabla F(pos);
tensor[3,3] P = identity[3] - norm\otimesnorm;
tensor[3,3] G = -(PeHeP)/|grad|;
real disc = sqrt(2.0*|G|^2 - trace(G)^2);
real k1 = (trace(G) + disc)/2.0;
real k2 = (trace(G) - disc)/2.0;
```

```
// global definitions
input int N = 1000;
input real eps = 0.000001;
// strand definition
strand SqRoot (real val)
    output real root = val;
    update {
        root = (root + val/root) / 2.0;
        if (|root^2 - val|/val < eps)</pre>
            stabilize:
// initialization
```



```
initially [ SqRoot(real(i)) | i in 1..N ]
```

Square roots of integers using Heron's method.



// initialization
initially [SqRoot(real(i)) | i in 1..N]





```
// initialization
initially [ SqRoot(real(i)) | i in 1..N ]
```





Diderot design summary

The Diderot language design has two major aspects:

- ► A high-level mathematical programming model that uses the concepts and direct-style notation of tensor calculus to work with image data. These include tensor operations (•, ×) and higher-order field operations (∇), *etc*.
- ► A shared-nothing bulk-synchronous parallel execution model that abstracts away from details of communication, synchronization, and resource management.

Example — Curvature

```
field#2(3)[] F = bspln3 & load("quad-patches.nrrd");
field#0(2)[3] RGB = tent (*) load("2d-bow.nrrd");
strand RayCast (int ui, int vi) {
  . . .
  update {
    . . .
    vec3 grad = -\nabla F(pos);
    vec3 norm = normalize(grad);
    tensor[3,3] H = \nabla \otimes \nabla F(pos);
    tensor[3,3] P = identity[3] - norm@norm;
    tensor[3,3] G = -(P \bullet H \bullet P)/[grad];
    real disc = sqrt(2.0*|G|^2 - trace(G)^2);
    real k1 = (trace(G) + disc)/2.0;
    real k2 = (trace(G) - disc)/2.0;
    vec3 matRGB = // material RGBA
         RGB([max(-1.0, min(1.0, 6.0*k1))]
              max(-1.0, min(1.0, 6.0*k2))]);
    . . .
```







Example — 2D Isosurface

```
int stepsMax = 10;
. . .
strand sample (int ui, int vi) {
  output vec2 pos = ···;
// set isovalue to closest of 50, 30, or 10
  real isoval = 50.0 if F(pos) >= 40.0
             else 30.0 if F(pos) >= 20.0
             else 10.0:
  int steps = 0;
  update {
    if (inside(pos, F) && steps <= stepsMax)</pre>
    // delta = Newton-Raphson step
      vec2 delta = normalize(\nabla F(pos)) * (F(pos) - isoval)/|\nabla F(pos)|;
      if (|delta| < epsilon)</pre>
        stabilize;
      pos = pos - delta;
      steps = steps + 1:
    else die;
```

Diderot compiler and runtime

- Compiler is about 21,000 lines of SML (2,500 in front-end).
- Multiple backends: vectorized C and OpenCL (CUDA under construction).
- ▶ Multiple runtimes: Sequential C, Parallel C, OpenCL.
- Designed to generate libraries, but also supports standalone executables.

Probing tensor fields

A probe gets compiled down into code that maps the world-space coordinates to image space and then convolves the image values in the neighborhood of the position.

$$V \otimes h F$$

 M^{-1}
Discrete image data Continuous field

In 2D, the reconstruction is (note that *h* is separable)

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1-s}^{s} \sum_{j=1-s}^{s} V[\mathbf{n} + \langle i, j \rangle] h(\mathbf{f}_{x} - i) h(\mathbf{f}_{y} - j)$$

where *s* is the support of *h*, $\mathbf{n} = \lfloor \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \rfloor$ and $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{n}$.

Probing tensor fields (continued ...)

In general, compiling the probe operations is more challenging.

For example, we might have

field#2(2)[] F = h * V;

 $\cdots \nabla$ (s * F) (x) \cdots

The first step is to normalize the field expressions.

$$\nabla(s * (V \circledast h))(x) \implies (s * (\nabla(V \circledast h)))(x)$$
$$\implies s * ((\nabla(V \circledast h))(x))$$
$$\implies s * (V \circledast (\nabla h))(x)$$

Probing tensor fields (continued ...)

Each component in the partial-derivative tensor corresponds to a component in the result of the probe.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(s * F)(x) &= s * (V \circledast (\nabla h))(x) \\ &= s * (V \circledast \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} h)(x) \\ &= s * \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1-s}^{s} \sum_{j=1-s}^{s} V[\mathbf{n} + \langle i, j \rangle] \frac{h'}{h'} (\mathbf{f}_{x} - i) h(\mathbf{f}_{y} - j) \\ \sum_{i=1-s}^{s} \sum_{j=1-s}^{s} V[\mathbf{n} + \langle i, j \rangle] h(\mathbf{f}_{x} - i) \frac{h'}{h'} (\mathbf{f}_{y} - j) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

A later stage of the compiler expands out the evaluations of h and h'. Probing code has high arithmetic intensity and is trivial to vectorize.

- SMP machine: 8-core MacPro with 2.93 GHz Xeon X5570 processors (SSE-4)
- Four typical benchmark programs
 - vr-lite simple volume-renderer with Phong shading running on CT scan of hand
 - ► illust-vr fancy volume-renderer with cartoon shading running on CT scan of hand
 - lic2d line integral convolution in 2D running on turbulance data
 - ridge3d particle-based ridge detection running on lung data



- SMP machine: 8-core MacPro with 2.93 GHz Xeon X5570 processors (SSE-4)
- Four typical benchmark programs
 - vr-lite simple volume-renderer with Phong shading running on CT scan of hand
 - illust-vr fancy volume-renderer with cartoon shading running on CT scan of hand
 - ▶ lic2d line integral convolution in 2D running on turbulance data
 - ridge3d particle-based ridge detection running on lung data



- SMP machine: 8-core MacPro with 2.93 GHz Xeon X5570 processors (SSE-4)
- Four typical benchmark programs
 - vr-lite simple volume-renderer with Phong shading running on CT scan of hand
 - illust-vr fancy volume-renderer with cartoon shading running on CT scan of hand
 - ▶ lic2d line integral convolution in 2D running on turbulance data
 - ridge3d particle-based ridge detection running on lung data



- SMP machine: 8-core MacPro with 2.93 GHz Xeon X5570 processors (SSE-4)
- Four typical benchmark programs
 - vr-lite simple volume-renderer with Phong shading running on CT scan of hand
 - illust-vr fancy volume-renderer with cartoon shading running on CT scan of hand
 - lic2d line integral convolution in 2D running on turbulance data
 - ridge3d particle-based ridge detection running on lung data



SMP scaling

Parallel performance scaling with respect to sequential Diderot.



Comparison across platforms

Compare performance on three platforms: sequential (MacPro), 8-way parallel (MacPro), and NVIDIA Tesla C2070.

Baseline is Teem/C implementation on MacPro.



Conclusion

Diderot provides:

- ► High-level programming notation.
- Domain-specific optimizations.
- Portable parallel performance.

These advantages apply to Parallel DSLs in general!

Thanks to NVIDIA and AMD for their support.

Questions?



http://diderot-language.cs.uchicago.edu